1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION
2	AILANIA DIVISION
3	ELAINE ARMSTEAD )
4	Plaintiff, ) CIVIL ACTION FILE ) NO. 1:14-CV-586-WSD
5	v. )
6	) ATLANTA, GEORGIA ALLSTATE PROPERTY & CASUALTY ) INSURANCE COMPANY )
7	Defendant. )
8	)
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM S. DUFFEY, JR.,
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	SHOW CAUSE HEARING
12	Tuesday, November 29, 2016
13	
14	
15	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
16	For Ms. Finch: LAW OFFICE OF BRUCE HARVEY (By: Bruce S. Harvey)
17	( 1
18	
19	
20	
21	Drogoodings resembled by markering I store week.
22	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography and computer-aided transcript produced by
23	NICHOLAS A. MARRONE, RMR, CRR 1714 U. S. Courthouse
24	75 Ted Turner Drive, S.W. Atlanta, GA 30303 (404) 215-1486
25	(101, 213 1100

```
Tuesday Morning Session
1
                           November 29, 2016
2
                                9:31 a.m.
3
4
                         PROCEEDINGS
5
6
7
                            (In open court:)
               THE COURT: Good morning. This is the hearing
8
     that's conducted pursuant to my order dated October 10th of
9
     2016.
10
               The date of the hearing has been continued at the
11
     request of plaintiff's counsel. I am happy to accommodate
12
     that, and I appreciate us being able to do it now rather than
13
     in December.
14
               I set out in my October 10th order the purpose of
15
     the hearing and the authorities upon which I am relying in
16
     this matter, and now this is the opportunity that I'm going
17
     to afford to the respondent to present whatever evidence or
18
     argument she wants in connection with the matters set forth
19
     in the October 10th order.
20
21
               Mr. Harvey?
               MR. HARVEY: Good morning, Judge.
22
23
               THE COURT: Good morning.
               MR. HARVEY: I am a little bit confused about your
24
     reference to the October 10th order. Can I -- I believe
25
```

```
I would refer to the Court's October 26th order, which is
1
     Document No. 159, and I think that kind of frames what
2
     I thought to be -- frames the issue.
3
               THE COURT: Well, I said that this is not a
4
     contempt hearing. I agreed with you on that position.
5
               MR. HARVEY: Yes.
6
7
               THE COURT: But I still have inherent powers.
                                                               And
     so those authorities, whatever order they happen to be in.
8
               MR. HARVEY: No, I agree, I'm not saying you don't
9
     have inherent powers. I agree that you do.
                                                  The question I
10
     think is the contours of those powers.
11
               But under the Court's October 26th --
12
               THE COURT: Right, that's the Docket Entry 159.
13
14
               MR. HARVEY: Docket -- yes, sir, Docket 159, Judge.
               THE COURT:
15
                           Sorry.
               MR. HARVEY: On page eleven of that order, here
16
     is -- and I quote: Rather, the misconduct at issue at this
17
     stage of the proceedings is respondent's -- and there are two
18
     things -- pattern of disruptive behavior, that's number one,
19
     and her flagrant disregard for the Court's orders without
20
     adequate justification or explanation. That's number two.
21
               You also indicate, continuing along in that
22
     paragraph on page eleven of Document 159, that the belated
23
     Fifth Amendment assertion does not in itself excuse her
24
     pattern of conduct.
25
```

So it seems to me that the Court is wishing to exercise its inherent power with regard to a pattern of disruptive behavior and/or flagrant disregard for the Court's orders without adequate justification or explanation.

Now, I will say that since we are not here on a contempt hearing because the Court did agree with our prior pleading in that regard, that a pattern of disruptive behavior is so amorphous and ambiguous as to not give us an opportunity -- I guess I am making a due process argument -- not specific enough or so amorphous that I don't have any clue as to what it is we have to defend against, because I don't know what in the Court's mind constitutes a pattern of disruptive behavior, and I do not know what orders the respondent, Ms. Finch, disregarded without adequate justification or explanation, neither of which --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, that's all in the order, Mr. Harvey.

I know you are making a record on this; I'm happy for you to do that. But it's clear in this order that she was ordered at least two times to respond to an order of the Court, and she neither did that nor explained why she didn't do that.

So why don't you start with that.

MR. HARVEY: Okay. Well, I'm unaware of which order you are referring to. In this order, in the October

```
10th -- I mean, the October 26th order?
1
               THE COURT: Aren't you aware, having gone back and
2
     read the transcript in this case, that she was ordered twice
3
     to provide specific information about why she -- where she
4
     acquired information that was at issue in the case?
5
               MR. HARVEY: Yes, yes, yes.
6
               THE COURT: And she was twice ordered to do that
7
     and given specific dates upon which to respond, and neither
8
     responded, nor did she explain why she didn't respond on
9
     either of those occasions.
10
               So why don't you start with that.
11
               MR. HARVEY: Well, in the first place, I don't
12
     think that constitutes a pattern of disruptive behavior under
13
14
     any circumstances.
               THE COURT: Well, let's just start with that.
15
     That's one of the things that I pointed to in the orders.
16
     Explain to me why somebody is not required to respond to a
17
     lawfully-issued order of the Court either by providing the
18
     information required or explaining why it's not being
19
     provided.
20
               MR. HARVEY: Well, first, I think that Ms. Finch
21
     did, in fact, respond. One.
22
               THE COURT: Well, good, tell me what that is.
23
     was her response in your mind?
24
               MR. HARVEY: Well, her response, if I can -- in the
25
```

first instance, I think there was a July 1st order, which is Document 121, I believe, from the Court. You ordered her to respond within a certain period of time, and I think that was July 5th.

And there was then a hearing --

THE COURT: Well, what you should do if you are -this is your due process hearing. If you want to put
evidence in the record upon which you are relying to respond
to this required hearing, let's do that so that the record is
clear.

And you have all those documents, and Ms. Finch is over there going through the file. So let's just get those in the record so that it's clear to me and it's clear to the Court of Appeals what it is that you are claiming that was her response to the two orders.

MR. HARVEY: Well, my response to that is I think that you are now going back to the contempt proceeding --

THE COURT: No, I'm not.

MR. HARVEY: -- with regard to that particular order, because you are --

THE COURT: Mr. Harvey, I'm not going to play this game with you. I'm requiring you -- and I will consider what you have to say, and if you want to make a further submission saying that now that you are claiming I'm going back to the contempt proceeding, you may. But this is an evidentiary

```
hearing, then I will listen to whatever argument you want to
1
     make.
2
               But I'm not going to take a position. I just set
3
     this hearing because I wanted Ms. Finch here to hear from her
4
     as to what she wants to say to me to consider in connection
5
     with this decision on whether or not I impose a response
6
     under my inherent powers. So let's get on with it.
7
               MR. HARVEY: Okay. You know, I object to that
8
     characterization, because I think it is outside your October
9
     26th, '16, order, which does not give us --
10
               THE COURT:
                           That's fine. Let's go, first, whatever
11
     you want to introduce into evidence, let's do that first, and
12
     then I will let you make your argument.
13
               MR. HARVEY: I think the record speaks for itself.
14
               THE COURT: Tell me what parts of the record you
15
     are relying on.
16
               MR. HARVEY: I think I am referring to, for
17
     example, the July 6th hearing before Your Honor.
18
               On the July 6th hearing before Your Honor,
19
     Your Honor gave Ms. Finch till July 5th. Ms. Finch said,
20
     I was traveling, I didn't get the order.
21
               You said at the July 6th hearing, Do you want
22
23
     additional time to respond?
               She said, and I quote -- this is at pages four to
24
     five of the transcript -- I would like additional time to
25
```

```
But I also have an objection, again, because
1
     I really don't understand, et cetera. This is at pages four
2
     to five of the July 6th transcript.
3
               I believe then that you then had a hearing on July
4
     11t.h --
5
               MS. FINCH: 7th.
6
7
               MR. HARVEY: 7th -- no, no, no, I'm sorry, a show
     cause hearing on July 10th, I believe, at which time
8
     Ms. Finch indicated, To the extent there is any allegation
9
     that I did anything or if there is any proof that I did
10
     anything, I would not be required to comment on any of that
11
     on my Fifth Amendment rights. So she exercised those Fifth
12
     Amendment rights.
13
               It seems to me that that's, (A), an adequate
14
     response; (B), she did specifically ask for additional time
15
     to respond; and when she responded, she appropriately
16
     exercised her Fifth Amendment rights.
17
               So it seems to me that that one instance is the
18
     only thing that we have to defend against.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
20
               MR. HARVEY: One moment.
21
               Judge, I don't have any other specific evidence.
22
     I think that the record as it is adequately comports with at
23
     least the only instance that I understand that the Court is
24
     inquiring about at this particular time.
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
THE COURT: No, that was the first. I want you to
respond to the orders that have been entered in this case,
and specifically the matters set out in the October 26th
order, which is Docket Entry 159.
          And if there is nothing else that you want to
present because you claim that there is nothing else to
respond to, that's fine.
          MR. HARVEY: Well, as long as the Court understands
that -- well, I made the record on the first.
          THE COURT: I understand you made the record.
          MR. HARVEY: Okay, all right.
          THE COURT: Now, would Ms. Finch like to say
anything before we conclude?
          MR. HARVEY: Well, there are also other matters.
We don't -- I don't know and we have not been advised if the
Court is proceeding under anything other than the global
inherent powers of the Court. I don't know what sanctions,
if any, the Court is contemplating. I don't know
whether there is --
          THE COURT: Well, I don't have to tell you what
sanctions I'm contemplating until the hearing is over and
I deliberate on what would be appropriate.
          If you want to suggest what you think sanctions are
appropriate, I would listen to those.
          MR. HARVEY: Well, I think that under a number of
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
cases, the court set out specifically if there are any
ethical violations or any other violations, the court has --
the courts have set out here are the ethical violations and
the rules that they violate; (B), here are the sanctions that
the court is contemplating.
          So I think there is plenty of authority for the
fact that I think the Court has an obligation if the Court is
going to exercise its inherent authority to say here is what
it is that I am sanctioning you for, here are the sanctions
that I contemplate.
          And, finally, if you are contemplating monetary
sanctions, then the financial position of the respondent is
something that the Court can and should take into
consideration.
          THE COURT: So what are her financial conditions
that would impact that?
          MR. HARVEY: Can I -- I would like to mark an
exhibit for you, Judge.
          THE COURT: You may.
          So what is this?
          MR. HARVEY: That's her financial statement, as far
as I understand it, Judge, for her --
          THE COURT: For what period?
          MR. HARVEY: I'm sorry?
          THE COURT: For what period?
```

```
MR. HARVEY: For this year, for 2016.
1
               THE COURT: And is she a partner in the firm?
2
               MR. HARVEY: I think she is.
3
               MS. FINCH:
                           No.
4
               MR. HARVEY: Well, it's a sole practice.
5
               MS. FINCH:
                           It's Chris's.
                                          I am an employee.
6
7
               MR. HARVEY: You can address the Court.
                           I'm an employee of the firm.
8
               MS. FINCH:
               In transitioning from Georgia to Arizona, I had to
9
     close my practice, and I have moved to Arizona with no
10
              And I am only working part-time, spending time with
11
     my child.
12
               MR. HARVEY: And, secondly, just for the Court's
13
     information --
14
               THE COURT: Well, can I ask --
15
               MR. HARVEY: Yes, sir.
16
               THE COURT: Because this is pretty bare bones,
17
     I would like to see for the last six months her credit card
18
     statements to see what money she has been spending to see if
19
     that tracks against somebody who is claiming that they have
20
     made an aggregate of $27,000. Could I get that?
21
               MR. HARVEY: I don't know.
22
23
               THE COURT: Could you ask? I know you can get it.
               MR. HARVEY: You know what my gut reaction is.
24
25
     I think that's a little personal, but --
```

```
THE COURT: Well, it will be --
1
               MS. FINCH: Actually, I don't think it's relevant
2
     because --
3
               MR. HARVEY: Wait. Just tell me.
                                                   Thank you.
4
               (Counsel and client confer.)
5
               MR. HARVEY: The problem with that, Judge, is that
6
7
     as I understand it, that there is -- I don't think the credit
     card is reflective of income/expenses because I think her
8
     husband is paying either a large portion or all of the credit
9
     card bills. So we are talking about a family income as
10
     opposed to an individual's income.
11
               So I don't know the answer to that question.
12
               THE COURT: Well, I do. Are you willing to provide
13
     the credit card statements for the last six months?
14
               (Attorney and client confer.)
15
               MR. HARVEY: Thank you for waiting, Judge.
16
               THE COURT: It's a real easy request. Yes or no?
17
               MR. HARVEY: Well, actually it's not.
                                                      I mean, my
18
     first reaction if you asked me for my credit card bills would
19
     be to say absolutely not because I don't necessarily think --
20
               THE COURT: Well, you have joined the issue by
21
     submitting this saying that she for the last year has been
22
     living on $27,500.
23
               MR. HARVEY: And that is her income.
24
               THE COURT: That's her income.
25
```

```
MR. HARVEY: Correct.
1
               THE COURT: But I want to know what her spending is
2
     to see whether or not she has other resources of income.
3
               MR. HARVEY: Well, I'm confident that she does.
4
     mean, I'm confident that her husband is paying her bills,
5
     paying most of the bills, and --
6
7
               THE COURT: Well, you are making this
8
     representation --
               MR. HARVEY: But you --
9
               THE COURT: -- but you don't know the answer to
10
     that.
11
               Are you giving to me the six months of credit card
12
     statements or not? Just tell me.
13
               MR. HARVEY: I don't know.
14
               MS. FINCH: Your Honor, I --
15
               MR. HARVEY: Tell me.
16
               (Attorney and client confer.)
17
               MR. HARVEY: Thanks for the offer, Judge, but we
18
     will respectfully decline.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And I will take
20
     that into account in determining whether or not this
21
     information is credible since it's just a printout.
22
               MR. HARVEY: Well, I think that --
23
               THE COURT: And is this a marital relationship or
24
     other kind of relationship?
25
```

```
MR. HARVEY: Marital, marital.
1
               I also want to point out two other things, Judge,
2
     for the record and for your consideration.
3
               First, that I know that you are familiar with the
4
     historical background of the Court's orders, but Mr. Gould,
5
     the witness, has filed a bar complaint with the State Bar of
6
7
     Georgia. So that there is a pending bar complaint with
     regard to the genesis of your orders and the reason that we
8
     are here. That's number one.
9
               THE COURT: Well, first, I don't think that's
10
            I think his complaint was filed before we had the
11
     true.
     problems of noncompliance with the order. So I'm not sure
12
     that he addressed that.
13
               MR. HARVEY: I think it was afterwards. But it's
14
     still pending. It's pending.
15
               THE COURT: Right. And what's the status of that?
16
               MR. HARVEY: It's still pending.
17
               THE COURT: Has there been an interview of
18
     Ms. Finch or not?
19
               MR. HARVEY: I think Ms. Finch has filed a
20
     response. There has been a complaint, a response, and
21
     it's --
22
23
               THE COURT: And when was the response filed?
               MS. FINCH: It was like a week ago, two weeks ago.
24
25
               MR. HARVEY: Recently.
```

```
THE COURT:
                           Okay.
1
               MR. HARVEY: And, secondly --
2
               THE COURT: And how long do those matters usually
3
     take, do you know? I assume there is an investigating
4
     officer; is that right?
5
               MR. HARVEY: Well, once a complaint is filed, the
6
7
     respondent is -- or if it meets, you know, the we are going
     to continue, we are going to open an investigation, then the
8
     respondent is offered an opportunity to respond.
9
     I guess the state bar makes a determination as to whether or
10
     not there is going to be or if a hearing is necessary. Then
11
     a hearing is had. Then, you know, the state bar makes a
12
     determination as to what, if anything, the state bar is going
13
14
     to do.
               I have had them last a year. So it takes some
15
            It's not an immediate response.
16
     time.
               Once they say, yes, we are going to go forward,
17
     that kind of prolongs the proceeding.
18
               THE COURT: Right. Okay.
19
               MR. HARVEY: And, second -- so there is already an
20
     inquiry with regard to this particular matter.
21
               THE COURT: But how is that relevant to what I'm
22
     holding this hearing for?
23
               MR. HARVEY: Well, because I don't know what
24
     sanctions the Court is contemplating. One of the sanctions
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

authority to do that.

MR. HARVEY: Right.

could be, I would assume, some reference to the state bar, some reference under Rule 80, the local Rule 83 to the chief judge for some disciplinary -- potential disciplinary action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. So what relevance it has is that there is already pending a proceeding with regard to Ms. Finch with regard to this incident. And, lastly, on September 29th of 2016 --THE COURT: You are probably aware that we remove people from the list of attorneys allowed to practice before the bar for matters other than a response or discipline through the state judicial authorities. We have done that. MR. HARVEY: Sure, and I would expect that those are done under Rule 83, which has its own built-in procedural protections as well. It does, right. THE COURT: MR. HARVEY: Well, again, I think it's relevant because I don't know what sanctions, if any, the Court is contemplating. THE COURT: Well, I can't sanction her by removing her from the list of approved attorneys from the bar. I can make that recommendation, but the court ultimately has the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sanction.

THE COURT: So I couldn't sanction her by saying she can't practice here. The court would have to do that, and she would have due process rights in that process. MR. HARVEY: I agree. THE COURT: Right. MR. HARVEY: And on September 29th, 2016, the U.S. Supreme Court granted cert in a case called Goodyear Tire v. Haeger, H-a-e-q-e-r, and the question presented in that case was the contours of the court's inherent powers. So there is pending before the U.S. Supreme Court a case which -- and nobody disagrees that the court has inherent powers, but just how they are to be exercised. And there is a great wealth of law out there that says, of course, like the parsimony principle in sentencing, the parsimony principle applies to the inherent power of the

And in *Goodyear Tire*, not only are the contours of the inherent power -- the court's inherent power at issue, but whether or not there is and must be, which I thought they have already said, a direct causation requirement if there are going to be any monetary sanctions imposed under the inherent powers.

court, the least onerous sanction should be the sanction that

the court imposes, if the court is going to impose a

So I would suggest to the Court that whatever

```
sanctions the Court is contemplating, it might be wise to
1
     wait and see what the U.S. Supremes do in Goodyear Tire v.
2
     Haeger, if, in fact, they only have eight folks up there at
3
     this particular time.
4
               Because I don't know whether we are going to have
5
     nine at any time soon. Unless they nominate me, which I tend
6
7
     to doubt in a Republican administration.
               THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
8
               MR. HARVEY: No, sir.
9
               THE COURT: All right. I will take all of this
10
     into consideration and issue a written order. Thank you for
11
     being here.
12
13
               And we will be in recess.
               MR. HARVEY: Thank you, Judge.
14
                   (Proceedings adjourn at 9:55 a.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA :
5	I, Nicholas A. Marrone, RMR, CRR, Official Court
6	Reporter of the United States District Court for the Northern
7	District of Georgia, do hereby certify that the foregoing 18
8	pages constitute a true transcript of proceedings had before
9	the said Court, held in the city of Atlanta, Georgia, in the
10	matter therein stated.
11	In testimony whereof, I hereunto set my hand on
12	this, the 6th day of January, 2017.
13	
14	
15	
16	/s/ Nicholas A. Marrone
17 18	NICHOLAS A. MARRONE, RMR, CRR Registered Merit Reporter
19	Certified Realtime Reporter Official Court Reporter
20	Northern District of Georgia
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	